

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

TIFFANY LEWIS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 1:25-cv-00275-JPH-MJD
	)	
REGISTER.COM, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER REGARDING PROTECTIVE ORDERS**

In the event an agreed protective order is required in this matter, the parties shall file a motion seeking the entry of such an order, attaching thereto the proposed protective order. The parties shall either use the Court's Uniform Protective Order <http://www.insd.uscourts.gov/court-info/local-rules-and-orders> or explain in the motion why a different form of order is being proposed. If the parties use the Court's Uniform Protective Order, the motion seeking entry of the order shall articulate any changes that were made to the Court's standard form. The parties shall also either replace Section VIII of the Uniform Protective Order with the following language or include the following language in any alternative form of protective order that may be submitted or explain in detail in the motion any deviation from this instruction:

**VIII. REQUESTS TO SEAL**

A. Filing Documents Under Seal: This protective order does not authorize a party to file or maintain a document under seal. The parties shall comply with Local Rule 5-11 when filing material designated as protected information pursuant to this order.

Over-redaction of documents sought to be maintained under seal may result in the denial of a motion to seal.

B. Challenging "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" Designations: Prior to the filing of any motion seeking to challenge the designation of information as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" as set forth in Section IV above, the parties will request a telephonic conference with the Magistrate Judge to discuss the issue, following which the contesting party may move for an order removing or altering the "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation with regard to such document(s).

Additionally, if any proposed protective order purports to allow documents to be designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only," such proposed order must include a precise definition of the documents that may be designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the order.

A motion for the entry of an agreed protective order should be filed as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than 14 days prior to the **original** due date for any discovery response with regard to which the responding party proposes to rely upon the protective order when responding.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 20 JUN 2025

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark J. Dinsmore', is written over a horizontal line.

Mark J. Dinsmore  
United States Magistrate Judge  
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Service will be made electronically  
on all ECF-registered counsel of record  
via email generated by the Court's ECF system.